



Andrew Curtin
Patent Department
Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc.
201 Broadway, 8th Floor
Cambridge MA 02139

COPY MAILED

NOV 22 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------|
| In re Application of | : | |
| FRISKEN et al. | : | DECISION |
| Application No. 09/833,515 | : | ON PETITION |
| Filed: April 12, 2001 | : | |
| Attorney Docket No. CR-1331 | : | |

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 20, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The application became abandoned for failure submit the issue fee and publication fee in a timely manner in reply to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed May 20, 2005, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on August 23, 2005.

The provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was

unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The instant petition does not satisfy the above requirement (3) since the statement was unsigned and is therefore unacceptable. See 37 CFR §§ 1.4(d)(1) and 33(b).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION
 Commissioner for Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
 Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

The centralized facsimile number is (571) 273-8300.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-4787.



Denise Pothier
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions